

SCHOOL OF PUBLIC HEALTH





#### SUNY – YÖK Joint International Public Health and Medical Sciences Conference

"Innovative Cancer Research Translating Clinical and Population Research into New Approaches to Treatment and Enhanced Prevention, Early Detection, and Quality Care"

April 15 – April 17, 2015

### Locoregional Breast Cancer Treatment after neoadjuvant chemotherapy

Merdan Fayda, MD

**Radiation Oncology** 



İstanbul Üniversitesi 561.yıl



İstanbul Üniversitesi ONKOLOJİ ENSTİTÜSÜ NAC in Istanbul University Institute of Oncology

 About 30% of newly diagnosed non-metastatic breast cancer cases treated with NAC

- Clinic and Pathologic N +
- Pts w/ large tumors desiring BCS
- T4 cases







Trials on neoadjuvant (primary, preoperative) chemotherapy

clinicly T≥2cm, Sono ≥1cm; N0-2, M0 incl. T4	<ul> <li><u>GeparSepto</u>: Paclitaxel-EC vs nab-Paclitaxel-EC</li> </ul>		
clinicly T≥2cm, Sono ≥1cm; N0-2, M0 excl. T4	<ul> <li>Genevieve: Triple Neg or Luminal B/Her2 normal: Cabazitaxel vs. Paclitaxel</li> </ul>		
Sono >1,5cm; M0 incl. T4	<ul> <li>NeoPHOEBE only Her2+: Trastuzumab +- BKM 120</li> </ul>		
Mamma-Ca duringr <b>Pregnancy</b> : register retrospectivly and prospectivly: <u>BCP</u> Mamma-Ca in <b>Males</b> : <u>MALE</u>			

#### NAC – pathologic change

#### NAC changes

- The pathologic extent 80–90 %
- Pos. Lymph node (s) convert to neg. 20–40 %
  - Her-2 positive tx with trastuzumab 70 %



İstanbul Üniversitesi ONKOLOJİ ENSTİTÜSÜ Hoffman, Lancet Oncol, 2012

Rastogi, JCO, 2008

Dominici, Cancer, 2010

#### Can NAC change the local tx?

#### Surgery after NAC

- Breast conserving surgery could be done [init. Mastectomy candidates]
- Sentinel lymph node dissection
  - Could be done after NAC [tc99m+blue dye and 2 nodes should removed]
  - But still is not an accepted alternative to ALND [ALLIANCE A011202]

#### Radiotherapy after NAC

- Could lymphatic radiotherapy be omitted in conserved breast?
- Could Postmastectomy radiotherapy be omitted ?



#### Source of information

Retrospective studies mostly from MDACC

• Combined analyses NSABP B18-B27

Prospective cohort



Predictors of Locoregional Recurrence After Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy: Results From Combined Analysis of National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and Bowel Project B-18 and B-27

Eleftherios P. Mamounas, Stewart J. Anderson, James J. Dignam, Harry D. Bear, Thomas B. Julian, Charles E. Geyer Jr, Alphonse Taghian, D. Lawrence Wickerham, and Norman Wolmark

Combined analysis of Prospective cohort

n

- Neoadjuvant
- No PMRT
- No lymphatic RT for BCS
- ?Receptor status?
- ?Grades?



İstanbul Üniversitesi ONKOLOJİ ENSTİTÜSÜ

	NSABP Trial (%)		
Characteristic	B-18 (neoadjuvant AC arm) (n = 742)	B-27 (all three arms) (n = 2,346)	
Patient age at random			
< 50	51	57	
≥ 50	49	43	
Clinical tumor size at random assignment, cm			
cT1 (≤ 2.0)	28	14	
cT2 (2.1-5.0)	59	57	
cT3 (> 5)	13	29	
Clinical nodal status at random assignment			
cN0	73	70	
cN1	27	30	
Combined clinical stage at random assignment			
cT1-2N0	65	51	
cT1-2N1	22	20	
cT3N0	8	19	
cT3N1	5	10	

Abbreviations: AC, doxorubicin/cyclophosphamide; NSABP, National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and Bowel Project.

Predictors of Locoregional Recurrence After Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy: Results From Combined Analysis of National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and Bowel Project B-18 and B-27

Eleftherios P. Mamounas, Stewart J. Anderson, James J. Dignam, Harry D. Bear, Thomas B. Julian, Charles E. Geyer Jr, Alphonse Taghian, D. Lawrence Wickerham, and Norman Wolmark

- Median follow-up: 11,7 years
- Mastectomy + No PMRT (n=1,947) LRR 12.6% (9.0% local; 3.6% regional)
- Lumpectomy plus breast only XRT (n=1,100)
   LRR 10.3% (8.1% local; 2.2% regional).



İstanbul Üniversitesi ONKOLOJİ ENSTİTÜSÜ

Mamounas JCO 2012

# The omission of lymphatic RT in BCS







İstanbul Üniversitesi **ONKOLOJİ ENSTİTÜSÜ** 

Mamounas JCO, 2012

# The omission of lymphatic RT in BCS

Ten year LRR with preoperative chemotherapy and with Post BCS plus only breast RT % $\geq 50$ years $cN0$ $cN1$ ypN(1-3+) $5.9$ $11.4$ ypN(4+) $11.3$ $19.6$ $< 50$ years $< 50$ years         ypN(1-3+) $12$ $21.1$ ypN(4+) $15.6$ $24$								
only breast RT % $\geq 50$ years         cN0       cN1         ypN(1-3+)       5.9       11.4         ypN(4+)       11.3       19.6         ypN(1-3+)       12       21.1         ypN(4+)       15.6       24	Ten year LRR with preoperative chemotherapy and with Post BCS plus							
$\geq 50$ years         cN0       cN1         ypN(1-3+)       5.9       11.4         ypN(4+)       11.3       19.6         < 50 years		only breast <b>RT</b> %						
$\geq 50$ years         cN0       cN1         ypN(1-3+)       5.9       11.4         ypN(4+)       11.3       19.6         < 50 years		only of dat ICI 70						
$\geq 50$ years         cN0       cN1         ypN(1-3+)       5.9       11.4         ypN(4+)       11.3       19.6 $< 50$ years          ypN(1-3+)       12       21.1         ypN(4+)       15.6       24								
cN0     cN1       ypN(1-3+)     5.9     11.4       ypN(4+)     11.3     19.6       <		> 50  y	vears					
cN0cN1ypN(1-3+) $5.9$ $11.4$ ypN(4+) $11.3$ $19.6$ < 50 years		_ = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =						
cN0cN1 $ypN(1-3+)$ 5.911.4 $ypN(4+)$ 11.319.6< 50 years								
ypN(1-3+)       5.9       11.4         ypN(4+)       11.3       19.6         <		cN0	cN1					
ypN(1-3+) $5.9$ $11.4$ ypN(4+) $11.3$ $19.6$ < 50 years								
ypN(1-3+) $5.9$ $11.4$ ypN(4+) $11.3$ $19.6$ $< 50$ years $<$ ypN(1-3+) $12$ $21.1$ ypN(4+) $15.6$ $24$								
ypN(4+)     11.3     19.6       ypN(1-3+)     12     21.1       ypN(4+)     15.6     24	vpN(1-3+)	5.9	11.4					
ypN(4+)       11.3       19.6         <50 years								
ypN(4+)       11.3       19.6         < 50 years								
ypN(1-3+)     12     21.1       ypN(4+)     15.6     24	vpN(4+)	11.3	19.6					
< 50 years								
< 50 years								
ypN(1-3+)     12     21.1       ypN(4+)     15.6     24		< 50 years						
ypN(1-3+) 12 21.1 ypN(4+) 15.6 24								
ypN(1-3+)     12     21.1       ypN(4+)     15.6     24								
ypN(4+) 15.6 24	vpN(1-3+)	12	21.1					
ypN(4+) 15.6 24	JP1 (10)							
ypN(4+) 15.6 24								
	vpN(4+)	15.6	24					
	J1(. )							





## No PMRT after NAC Stage IIA [cT0-1 N1 or T2N0]

- cT2N0
- MDACC
- pCR (no inv. dis.) 0 % LRR without PMRT



İstanbul Üniversitesi ONKOLOJİ ENSTİTÜSÜ McGuire, IJROBP, 2007

Settle, IJROBP, 2009



## No PMRT after NAC Stage IIA [cT0-1 N1 or T2N0]

- cT1N1
- MDACC
- <35-40</li>
  LVSI
  ECE
  TN



İstanbul Üniversitesi ONKOLOJİ ENSTİTÜSÜ Gark, IJROBP, 2004 Buccholz, IJROBP, 2002 Yu, IJROBP, 2008



# No PMRT after NAC Stage II

• cT1-2N0-1

• MDACC n=181 no PMRT

5 y LRR

- ypN0 1 %
- ypN1-3+ 5,4 %
- ypN ≥ 4 + 20 % p=0,03
- LVSI -- 2 %
- LVSI + 15,4 % p=0,006



İstanbul Üniversitesi ONKOLOJİ ENSTİTÜSÜ

Yu, IJROBP, 2008



## No PMRT after NAC Stage IIA

- cT1-2N0
- NSABP trial

10 y LRR

- ypN0 6,5 %
- ypN1-3+ 11,2 %
- ypN ≥ 4 + 11,1 %



Mamounas, JCO, 2012



## No PMRT after NAC Stage IIB [cT2N1 /T3N0]

- cT2N1 / T3N0
- <35 y all pts should receive PMRT</p>



İstanbul Üniversitesi ONKOLOJİ ENSTİTÜSÜ

Gark, IJROBP, 2007



## No PMRT after NAC Stage IIB [CT2N1 /T3N0]

- cT1-2N1
- NSABP trial

#### 10 y LRR without PMRT

- pCR 0 %
- ypN0 (no breast pCR)+ 10,8 %
- ypN1-3+ 14,4 %
- ypN ≥ 4 + 19,5 %





## No PMRT after NAC Stage IIB [cT2N1 /T3N0]

• cT3N0

• MDACC

with PMRT Without PMRT
5 y LRR 4 % 24 %

p<0.001



Nagar, IJROBP, 2011



## No PMRT after NAC Stage IIB [CT2N1 /T3N0]

• cT3N0

• NSABP

#### 10 y LRR without PMRT

- pCR 6,2 %
- ypN0 (no breast pCR)+ 10,6 %
- ypN1-3+ 10,6 %
- ypN ≥ 4 + 19,5 %





## No PMRT after NAC Stage IIIA [cT3N1 / T0-3N2]

- stage III
- MDACC

pCR	with PMRT	Without PM	RT
10 y LRR	7,3 %	33,3 %	
			p=0,04
10 y DMFS	87,9 %	40,7 %	
			p=0,0006
10 y OS	77 %	33,3%	



McGuire, IJROBP, 2007



## No PMRT after NAC Stage IIIA [cT3N1 / T0-3N2]

• cT3N1

• NSABP

#### 10 y LRR without PMRT

- pCR 0 %
- ypN0 (no breast pCR)+ 9,2 %
- ypN1-3+ 14,7 %
- ypN ≥ 4 + 27,2 %



Mamounas, JCO, 2012



## No PMRT after NAC Stage IIIB [cT4 N0-2]

#### MDACC

#### 5 y LRR without PMRT

42 %



İstanbul Üniversitesi ONKOLOJİ ENSTİTÜSÜ

Mamounas, JCO, 2012

#### St Gallen 2015 **Neo-Adjuvant Chemotherapy** Approach to RT after neo-adjuvant therapy: Should follow the stage before neo-adjuvant 68.3/22/9.8 therapy? 1Y/ 2N/ 9A Should follow the stage *after* neo-adjuvant therapy? 24.4/65.9/9.8 1Y/2N/9A



# The omission of lymphatic RT in BCS -Conclusion



10y risk 78% - 75%]

How / should these results from adjuvant studies affect NAC ?

Courtesy of Yarnold, SABC 2014

#### Conclusion

- Patients with clinical T3-T4 tumors, pathological noncomplete responders in the axilla, and younger patients (<35) with cT2N1 or worse disease should be treated with RT according to retrospective data.
- Selected patients (cT1-2 cN1 and >40 years old) with a pathological complete response (ypT0, ypTis, ypN0) after NAC could perhaps be followed without PMRT and without regional irradiation in the BCS





 NSABP B51/Radiotherapy Oncology Group (RTOG) 1304 study, the randomized study of omission the PMRT and lymphatic RT in BCS

 SLNB + radiotherapy vs. ALND+RT after NAC. Phase 3 randomized (ALLIANCE A011202) is still accruing pts.

